UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,748	12/11/2003	Belin Fieldson	15749-002001	9522
20985 7590 02/22/2008 FISH & RICHARDSON, PC			EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 1022		WINTER, JOHN M		
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			02/22/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/734,748	FIELDSON, BELIN			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	JOHN M. WINTER	3621			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 No. 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-26 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-7 and 29 is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 8-25 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ access Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the B				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	animer. Note the attached Office	7.0007 07 101117 10 102.			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

DETAILED ACTION

Acknowledgements

The Applicants amendment filed on November 12, 2007 is hereby acknowledged, Via paper filed on November 12, 2007 a provisional election was made without traverse to prosecute the of Invention II, claims 8-25. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 1-7 and 26 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Rabne et al. (US Patent 6,006,332) in view of Russell et al. (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0049679).

As per claim 8,

Rabne ('332)discloses a method comprising:

receiving information associated with digital content at a client from the client; generating a license key for said digital content; generating a content header for said digital content; transmitting the license key and the content header to the client; (generally

disclosed in discussion of "Overview" at Column 9, line 60 – column 8 line 11 discusses access to content based on licensing agreement; also Column 7, line 10 discusses client has "ticket" [i.e. license key])

Rabne ('332) does not explicitly disclose hosting a web page including a web-based encoder object; monitoring a web-based encoding and packaging of the digital content at the client by the web-based encoder object from the web-based encoder object. Russell et al. ('679)discloses hosting a web page including a web-based encoder object; monitoring a web-based encoding and packaging of the digital content at the client by the web-based encoder object from the web-based encoder object. (Figure 1,3 and abstract). It would be obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the Rabne ('332) method with the Russell et al. ('679) method in order to rent content to users via a website; furthermore the combination of these elements does not alter their respective functions, and the combination would have yielded predictable results to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention.

As per claim 9,

Rabne ('332) discloses the method of claim 8,

Official Notice is taken that "the web-based encoder object comprises an ActiveX object" is common and well known in prior art in reference to network protocols. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize an ActiveX component in order to enable dynamic web content.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,748 Page 4

Art Unit: 3621

.

As per claim 10,

Rabne ('332) discloses the method of claim 8,

wherein said monitoring comprises receiving digital rights management events from the web-based encoder object during said encoding and packaging of the digital content (Figure 5).

As per claim 11,

Rabne ('332) discloses the method of claim 11,

Official Notice is taken that "receiving said events through a SOAP interface." is common and well known in prior art in reference to network protocols. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize a SOAP interface in order to allow access to cross platform components and integrate them into a website.

As per claim 12,

Rabne ('332) discloses the method of claim 10,

sending status information to the client in response to said events (Figure 5).

As per claim 13,

Rabne ('332) discloses the method of claim 10,

further comprising transmitting enrollment information associated with the client to the client (Column 8, lines 10-19).

As per claim 14,

Rabne ('332) discloses the method of claim 8,

wherein said generating the license key comprises: generating a key ID for the digital content; and retrieving a license key seed associated with the client.(Abstract)

As per claim 15,

Rabne ('332) discloses the method of claim 8,

further comprising: generating a license for the packaged digital content in response to a request from one of the client and a customer client; and downloading the license to the customer client.(Abstract)

Claims 16-25 are not patentably distinct from claim 8-15 and are rejected for at least the same reasons.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JOHN M. WINTER whose telephone number is (571)272-6713. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30-6, 1st Fridays off.

Application/Control Number: 10/734,748 Page 6

Art Unit: 3621

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrew Fischer can be reached on (571) 272-6779. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JMW

/ANDREW J. FISCHER/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3621